DECISION-MAKER:		R:	Governance Committee					
SUBJECT:			Annual Governance Statement 2017-18					
DATE OF DECISION:			12 th February 2018					
REPORT OF:			Chief Financial Officer					
			CONTACT DETAILS					
AUTHOR: Name		Name:	Peter Rogers					
7.0111014.		E-mail:	peter.rogers@southampton.gov.uk		020 0000 2000			
man			peter roger s@southamptom.gov.ak					
Director		Name:	Mel Creighton	Tel:	023 8083 4897			
E-mail		E-mail:	mel.creighton@southampton.gov.uk					
STATE	MENT OF	CONFID	ENTIALITY					
None								
BRIEF S	SUMMAR'	Y						
the exte	nt to which	h the Cou as monito	nual Governance Statement ('AGS') ncil has complied with its Code of C red and evaluated the effectiveness and on any planned changes in the	Corpora of its	ate Governance, governance			
RECOM	IMENDAT	IONS:						
	(i)	To note and approve the assurance gathering process to support the development of the 2017-18 Annual Governance Statement (Appendix 1).						
REASO	NS FOR F	REPORT	RECOMMENDATIONS					
1.	The Governance Committee has responsibility to provide independent assurance on the adequacy of the risk management framework and the internal control and reporting environment, including (but not limited to) the reliability of the financial reporting process and the annual governance statement.							
ALTERI	NATIVE O	PTIONS	CONSIDERED AND REJECTED					
2.	The development and publication of an AGS is a requirement under the Accounts and Audit Regulations.							
DETAIL	(Includin	ig consul	tation carried out)					
3.	Regulation 6 (1) of the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015 requires that the relevant body must conduct a review at least once a year of the effectiveness of its system of internal control and to prepare a statement on internal control in accordance with proper practices.							
4.	The purpose of the AGS, which is published with the statement of accounts, is to provide an accurate representation of the corporate governance arrangements in place during the year and to identify or highlight those areas where there are significant gaps or where improvements are required.							
5.	The AGS is produced following a review of the Council's governance arrangements. The review requires the systems and processes that							

	comprise the Council's corporate governance arrangements to be brought				
	together and reviewed.				
6.	The format and content of the 'assurance gathering process' remains largely unchanged from the previous year on the basis that it is considered to be aligned with good practice and noting that the council's external auditors, Ernst & Young, state in their 'Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2017' that "The Governance Statement was consistent with our understanding of the Council". The only material change to the process is the timescales which have been amended in order that they are aligned with process in respect of production of the draft accounts.				
7.	The key elements of the assurance gathering process comprise completion of the updated 'Assurance Framework' document together with 'Annual Governance - Self Assessment Statement' that is required to completed by each Service Director. Both the documents cover the key processes and systems that comprise the Council's governance arrangements and are intended to identify any areas where improvement or further development is required.				
8.	The Council has in place a 'Controls Assurance Management Group' (comprising the Section 151 Officer, Chair of the Governance Committee, Monitoring Officer, Chief Strategy Officer and Chief Internal Auditor) which is responsible for evaluating the assurances and supporting evidence provided, and for drafting the AGS. This reflects CIPFA/Solace guidance which confirms that authorities should nominate an individual or group of individuals within the authority who have appropriate knowledge and expertise and levels of seniority to:				
	 Consider the extent to which the authority complies with the principles of good governance; Identify systems, processes and documentation that provide evidence of compliance; Identify the individuals and committees responsible for monitoring and reviewing the systems, processes and documentation identified; Identify issues that have not been addressed in the authority and consider how they should be addressed; and Identify the individuals who would be responsible for undertaking the actions that are required. 				
9.	The draft AGS will be presented to the Governance Committee for review and approval prior to being forwarded to the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council for signing.				
RESOL	IRCE IMPLICATIONS				
<u>Capital</u>	/Revenue				
10.	None				
Proper	ty/Other				
11.	None				
LEGAL	IMPLICATIONS				
Statuto	ry power to undertake proposals in the report:				
12.	The Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015 require that the Council must each financial year conduct a review of the effectiveness of the system of internal control and prepare an AGS for approval by a committee				

or members of the authority. The AGS must be approved in advance of the						
•	pproving the Sta	atement of a	CCOUITIS.			
None						
ANAGEMENT IMPL	ICATIONS					
The assurance gathering process as detailed in the body of the report together with process and timelines document (Appendix 1) manage the risk in respect of compliance with the Accounts and Audit Regulations.						
FRAMEWORK IMF	PLICATIONS					
None						
CISION?	No					
COMMUNITIES AF	FECTED:	n/a				
lices AGS 2017-18: Proc	ess and timelin	es				
N/A						
y Impact Assessme	ent					
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety Impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out.						
Impact Assessmen	nt					
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) to be carried out.						
ackground Docum		for inspacti	on at:			
ackground docume	eniis avanabie i	or mapecu				
Background docume Background Paper		Relevant Informat Schedul	t Paragraph of the ion Procedure Re e 12A allowing de pt/Confidential (ules / locument to		
	relevant authority a egal Implications: None ANAGEMENT IMPL The assurance gath together with proce in respect of complications? FRAMEWORK IMPL None CISION? S/COMMUNITIES AF SL Iices AGS 2017-18: Proceed and the second of th	relevant authority approving the state gal Implications: None ANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS The assurance gathering process at together with process and timelines in respect of compliance with the AT FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS None CISION? NO SUPPORTING DO Iices AGS 2017-18: Process and timeline ents In Members' Rooms N/A y Impact Assessment implications/subject of the report mpact Assessment (ESIA) to be complications/subject of the report ment (PIA) to be carried out. Background Documents	relevant authority approving the statement of a egal Implications: None ANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS The assurance gathering process as detailed in together with process and timelines document in respect of compliance with the Accounts and FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS None CISION? NO S/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: No SUPPORTING DOCUMENTA Iices AGS 2017-18: Process and timelines ents In Members' Rooms N/A y Impact Assessment implications/subject of the report require an impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out. Impact Assessment implications/subject of the report require a Piment (PIA) to be carried out.	relevant authority approving the statement of accounts. egal Implications: None ANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS The assurance gathering process as detailed in the body of the together with process and timelines document (Appendix 1) mar in respect of compliance with the Accounts and Audit Regulation FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS None CISION? No SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION Rices AGS 2017-18: Process and timelines ents in Members' Rooms N/A y Impact Assessment implications/subject of the report require an Equality and mpact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out. Impact Assessment implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact ment (PIA) to be carried out. Eackground Documents		